

**ANALYSIS OF EXPENDITURE ABSTRACTS OF
WINNERS AND FIRST RUNNERS UP OF 2007 PUNJAB ASSEMBLY ELECTIONS**

**A report by
PUNJAB ELECTION WATCH
&
ASSOCIATION FOR DEMOCRATIC REFORMS**

Association for Democratic Reforms
B-1/6, Hauz Khas
Delhi-110016
Ph: 011-40817601, Fax: 011-46094248
Email: adr@adrindia.org

Highlights of this Report

- A total of 232 abstract expenditure statements of Winners and First runners up of Punjab Vidhan Sabha Election 2007 were analysed by PEW / ADR
- The average electoral expenditure declared by these winners and first runners up is Rs 5,11,805 (5.11 Lac approx)
- On an average the winners and first runners up utilized only 51% of the expense limit of Rs 10 Lac available to them.
- Looking at it party wise – the averages were INC Rs 5.07 lac, SAD Rs 5.27 lac and BJP Rs 4.97 lac
- Only one out of these 232, Ranjit Singh of SAD from Raikot and is the highest spender has declared utilization of more than 90% of the expense limit ie spent more than 9 Lacs by spending Rs.9,83,816
- Ram Kumar of BJP from Abohar is the lowest spender have spent only Rs 98,968
- 16 out of these winners and first runners up have utilized less than 25% of the limit.
- Less than 55% winners and first runners up (126) have declared that they have spent more than 50% of the limit.
- 45% (106) have spent less than 50% of the limit.
- Raikot was the highest expenditure constituency with avg expense of Rs 8,73,948
- Khadoor Sahib was the lowest average expenditure constituency at Rs 1,56,711
- Jalandhar has the highest average at Rs 5,93,031 among districts and Taran Taran the lowest at Rs 3,47,836

Top 20 who declared highest overall total expenditure

S No	Name	District	Constituency	Party	Total expenses incurred / authorized Rs.)	% of limit reached
1	<u>RANJIT SINGH</u>	Ludhiana	Raikot	SAD	9,83,816 9 Lacs+	98%
2	<u>HIRA SINGH GABRIA</u>	Ludhiana	Ludhiana Rural	SAD	8,80,913 8 Lacs+	88%
3	<u>DR. DILBAG RAI</u>	Hoshiarpur	Mahilpur	INC	8,76,685 8 Lacs+	88%
4	<u>ISHAR SINGH</u>	Ludhiana	Kum Kalan	INC	8,73,721 8 Lacs+	87%
5	<u>ASHWANI</u>	Gurdaspur	Batala	INC	8,71,551 8 Lacs+	87%
6	<u>GURMEET SINGH</u>	Ferozepur	Guru Har Sahai	INC	8,61,593 8 Lacs+	86%
7	<u>AMARJIT SINGH SAMRA</u>	Jullundur	Nakodar	INC	8,55,040 8 Lacs+	86%
8	<u>KULDIP SINGH WADALA</u>	Jullundur	Nakodar	SAD	8,52,702 8 Lacs+	85%
9	<u>BIKRAMJIT SINGH</u>	Ludhiana	Khanna	SAD	8,45,874 8 Lacs+	85%
10	<u>SARUP CHAND SINGLA</u>	Bhatinda	Bhatinda	SAD	8,27,459 8 Lacs+	83%
11	<u>SURJEET SINGH RAKHRA</u>	Patiala	Samana	SAD	8,10,412 8 Lacs+	81%
12	<u>TIKSHAN SUD</u>	Hoshiarpur	Hoshiarpur	BJP	8,04,290 8 Lacs+	80%
13	<u>RAMESH DUTT SHARMA</u>	Ropar	Anandpur Sahib - Ropar	INC	8,03,636 8 Lacs+	80%
14	<u>JAGJIWAN SINGH</u>	Ludhiana	Samrala	SAD	7,90,359 7 Lacs+	79%
15	<u>BALBIR SINGH</u>	S.A.S. Nagar	Kharar	INC	7,86,358 7 Lacs+	79%
16	<u>HARMINDER SINGH JASSI</u>	Bhatinda	Bhatinda	INC	7,79,563 7 Lacs+	78%
17	<u>CH. JAGJIT SINGH</u>	Jullundur	Kartarpur	INC	7,70,570 7 Lacs+	77%
18	<u>MASTER MOHAN LAL</u>	Gurdaspur	Pathankot	BJP	7,67,897 7 Lacs+	77%
19	<u>SARBJEET SINGH MAKKAR</u>	Jullundur	Adampur	SAD	7,64,651 7 Lacs+	76%
20	<u>HARMOHINDER SINGH</u>	Ludhiana	Raikot	INC	7,64,080 7 Lacs+	76%

Top 20 who declared lowest overall total expenditure

S No	Name	District	Constituency	Party	Total expenses incurred/ authorized (Rs.)	% of limit reached
1	<u>RAM KUMAR</u>	Ferozepur	Abohar	BJP	98,968 98 Thou+	10%
2	<u>MANJIT SINGH MIANWIND</u>	Tarn Taran	Khadoor Sahib	SAD	1,00,791 1 Lacs+	10%
3	<u>UJAGGAR SINGH</u>	S.A.S. Nagar	Morinda	SAD	1,47,323 1 Lacs+	15%
4	<u>VIRSA SINGH</u>	Tarn Taran	Valtoha	SAD	1,82,690 1 Lacs+	18%
5	<u>AJIT SINGH</u>	Moga	Nihal Singh Wala	IND	1,91,670 1 Lacs+	19%
6	<u>BAL MUKAND SHARMA</u>	Ferozepur	Ferozepur	INC	2,06,696 2 Lacs+	21%
7	<u>TARSEM SINGH</u>	Tarn Taran	Khadoor Sahib	INC	2,12,631 2 Lacs+	21%
8	<u>PARKASH SINGH BHATTI</u>	Ferozepur	Balluana	INC	2,14,950 2 Lacs+	21%
9	<u>SUNIL KUMAR JAKHAR</u>	Ferozepur	Abohar	INC	2,17,731 2 Lacs+	22%
10	<u>BHAG SINGH MALLAH</u>	Ludhiana	Jagraon	SAD	2,17,748 2 Lacs+	22%
11	<u>RATTAN SINGH</u>	Amritsar	Attari	INC	2,20,193 2 Lacs+	22%
12	<u>ASHOK SHARMA</u>	Gurdaspur	Pathankot	INC	2,38,615 2 Lacs+	24%
13	<u>ADESH PARTAP SINGH</u>	Tarn Taran	Patti	SAD	2,41,528 2 Lacs+	24%
14	<u>SARDUL SINGH</u>	Amritsar	Jandiala	INC	2,45,565 2 Lacs+	25%
15	<u>HARMINDER SINGH GILL</u>	Tarn Taran	Patti	INC	2,46,618 2 Lacs+	25%
16	<u>RAGHUBIR SINGH</u>	Muktsar	Giddar Baha	INC	2,47,493 2 Lacs+	25%
17	<u>MADAN MOHAN MITTAL</u>	Ropar	Nangal	BJP	2,61,261 2 Lacs+	26%
18	<u>BALWINDER SINGH</u>	Mansa	Sardulgarh	SAD	2,72,672 2 Lacs+	27%
19	<u>AVTAR SINGH BRAR</u>	Faridkot	Faridkot	INC	2,73,141 2 Lacs+	27%
20	<u>RANJIT SINGH BRAHAMPURA</u>	Tarn Taran	Naushahra Panwan	SAD	2,75,698 2 Lacs+	28%

Average Election Expense declared by candidates - Party Wise

S No	Party	No. of Candidates Analyzed	Average Election Expense	% of Limit used
1	INC	109	5,06,670 5 Lacs+	50.7 %
2	SAD	93	5,26,852 5 Lacs+	52.7 %
3	BJP	23	4,96,564 4 Lacs+	49.7 %
4	IND	7	4,41,932 4 Lacs+	44.2 %
Total / Overall		232	5,11,805 5 Lacs+	51 %

Election expenses more than their declared assets

Sno	Name	Constituency	Party	Total expenses incurred/ authorized (Rs.)	Movable Assets	Immovable Assets	Total Assets
1	<u>SANTOSH KUMARI</u>	Balachaur	INC	6,56,047 6 Lacs+	2,15,000 2 Lacs+	0	2,15,000 2 Lacs+
2	<u>JARNAIL SINGH</u>	Nihal Singh Wala	SAD	4,27,514 4 Lacs+	1,01,000 1 Lacs+	24,000 24 Thou+	1,25,000 1 Lacs+

Assets Vs Electoral Expenses

Assets Range	Number of Candidates	Average Election expenses
10 crore and above	14	5,88,300 5 Lacs+
5 crore to 10 crore	26	5,35,776 5 Lacs+
1 crore to 5 crore	111	5,28,344 5 Lacs+
25 lakhs to 1 crore	57	4,85,420 4 Lacs+
less than 25 lakhs	24	4,27,381 4 Lacs

Top 20 Constituencies with highest average expenditure

S No	Constituency	District	No. of Candidates Analyzed	Average Election Expense	% of Average Limit
1	Raikot	Ludhiana	2	8,73,948 8 Lacs+	87.4 %
2	Nakodar	Jullundur	2	8,53,871 8 Lacs+	85.4 %
3	Ludhiana Rural	Ludhiana	2	8,08,065 8 Lacs+	80.8 %
4	Bhatinda	Bhatinda	2	8,03,511 8 Lacs+	80.4 %
5	Guru Har Sahai	Ferozepur	2	7,82,838 7 Lacs+	78.3 %
6	Khanna	Ludhiana	2	7,75,152 7 Lacs+	77.5 %
7	Moga	Moga	2	7,28,040 7 Lacs+	72.8 %
8	Mukerian	Hoshiarpur	2	7,24,072 7 Lacs+	72.4 %
9	Adampur	Jullundur	2	7,11,054 7 Lacs+	71.1 %
10	Batala	Gurdaspur	2	7,04,587 7 Lacs+	70.5 %
11	Kartarpur	Jullundur	2	7,03,063 7 Lacs+	70.3 %
12	Anandpur Sahib – Ropar	Ropar	2	6,88,382 6 Lacs+	68.8 %
13	Hoshiarpur	Hoshiarpur	2	6,85,093 6 Lacs+	68.5 %
14	Kum Kalan	Ludhiana	2	6,74,981 6 Lacs+	67.5 %
15	Muktsar	Muktsar	2	6,68,273 6 Lacs+	66.8 %
16	Patiala Town	Patiala	1	6,63,363 6 Lacs+	66.3 %
17	Samana	Patiala	2	6,62,401 6 Lacs+	66.2 %
18	Balachaur	Nawan Shahr	2	6,53,384 6 Lacs+	65.3 %
19	Kharar	S.A.S. Nagar	2	6,44,568 6 Lacs+	64.5 %
20	Bhadaur	Barnala	2	6,29,804 6 Lacs+	63.00%

Top 20 Constituencies with lowest average expenditure

S No	Constituency	State/District	No. of Candidates Analyzed	Average Election Expense	% of Limit Reached
1	Khadoor Sahib	Tarn Taran	2	1,56,711 1 Lacs+	15.7 %
2	Abohar	Ferozepur	2	1,58,350 1 Lacs+	15.8 %
3	Patti	Tarn Taran	2	2,44,073 2 Lacs+	24.4 %
4	Valtoha	Tarn Taran	2	2,70,325 2 Lacs+	27 %
5	Morinda	S.A.S. Nagar	2	2,98,801 2 Lacs+	29.9 %
6	Attari	Amritsar	2	3,02,787 3 Lacs+	30.3 %
7	Nihal Singh Wala	Moga	2	3,09,592 3 Lacs+	31 %
8	Jullundur Cantonment	Jullundur	1	3,13,416 3 Lacs+	31.3 %
9	Ludhiana East	Ludhiana	2	3,15,734 3 Lacs+	31.6 %
10	Dhariwal	Gurdaspur	2	3,19,668 3 Lacs+	32 %
11	Sardulgarh	Mansa	2	3,29,828 3 Lacs+	33 %
12	Balluana	Ferozepur	2	3,47,711 3 Lacs+	34.8 %
13	Garhshankar	Hoshiarpur	2	3,50,169 3 Lacs+	35 %
14	Panjgrain	Faridkot	2	3,53,276 3 Lacs+	35.3 %
15	Nangal	Ropar	2	3,55,192 3 Lacs+	35.5 %
16	Sherpur	Sangrur	2	3,62,415 3 Lacs+	36.2 %
17	Sultanpur	Kapurthala	2	3,63,745 3 Lacs+	36.4 %
18	Jandiala	Amritsar	2	3,74,037 3 Lacs+	37.4 %
19	Ferozepur	Ferozepur	2	3,84,067 3 Lacs+	38.4 %
20	Qadian	Gurdaspur	2	3,90,571 3 Lacs+	39.1 %

District wise average expenditure

S No	District	No. of Candidates Analyzed	Average Election Expense	% of Limit Used
1	Jullundur	19	5,93,031 5 Laacs+	59.3 %
2	Ludhiana	24	5,81,383 5 Laacs+	58.1 %
3	Bhatinda	10	5,73,114 5 Laacs+	57.3 %
4	Nawan Shahr	6	5,62,841 5 Laacs+	56.3 %
5	Hoshiarpur	16	5,55,254 5 Laacs+	55.5 %
6	Barnala	6	5,38,453 5 Laacs+	53.8 %
7	Ropar	6	5,22,387 5 Laacs+	52.2 %
8	Muktsar	8	5,21,936 5 Laacs+	52.2 %
9	Fatehgarh Sahib	4	5,17,403 5 Laacs+	51.7 %
10	Moga	8	5,13,400 5 Laacs+	51.3 %
11	Patiala	13	5,12,677 5 Laacs+	51.3 %
12	Gurdaspur	22	5,09,791 5 Laacs+	51 %
13	Ferozepur	16	4,98,001 4 Laacs+	49.8 %
14	Sangrur	14	4,82,014 4 Laacs+	48.2 %
15	Amritsar	22	4,71,110 4 Laacs+	47.1 %
16	S.A.S. Nagar	6	4,60,486 4 Laacs+	46 %
17	Kapurthala	8	4,52,085 4 Laacs+	45.2 %
18	Mansa	8	4,39,974 4 Laacs+	44 %
19	Faridkot	6	4,11,637 4 Laacs+	41.2 %
20	Tarn Taran	10	3,47,836 3 Laacs+	34.8 %
Total		232	5,11,805 5 Laacs+	51 %

Candidates with highest expense on public meetings etc.

S No	Name	District	Constituency	Party	Expense incurred on public meetings, processions etc.	Total expense declared	% of total expense declared
1	<u>DR. DILBAG RAI</u>	Hoshiarpur	Mahilpur	INC	8,76,685 8 Lacs+	8,76,685 8 Lacs+	100%
2	<u>JAGDEEP SINGH NAKAI</u>	Mansa	Joga	SAD	3,19,491 3 Lacs+	5,93,647 5 Lacs+	54%
3	<u>LAKHBIR SINGH LODHINANGA L</u>	Gurdaspur	Qadian	SAD	2,56,054 2 Lacs+	4,81,965 4 Lacs+	53%
4	<u>RAMESH CHANDER DOGRA</u>	Hoshiarpur	Dasuya	INC	2,54,780 2 Lacs+	6,24,333 6 Lacs+	41%
5	<u>SUKHWINDER SINGH</u>	Mansa	Mansa	SAD	2,50,892 2 Lacs+	4,27,550 4 Lacs+	59%
6	<u>JOGINDER PAL JAIN</u>	Moga	Moga	INC	2,39,320 2 Lacs+	7,09,802 7 Lacs+	34%
7	<u>HARMEET SINGH</u>	Tarn Taran	Tarn Taran	SAD	2,15,000 2 Lacs+	5,84,725 5 Lacs+	37%
8	<u>JUGAL KISHORE SHARMA</u>	Amritsar	Amritsar North	INC	2,06,300 2 Lacs+	5,99,891 5 Lacs+	34%
9	<u>TAJINDER SINGH BITTU</u>	Jullundur	Jullundur Central	INC	1,88,456 1 Lacs+	5,28,713 5 Lacs+	36%
10	<u>AJIT SINGH KOHAR</u>	Jullundur	Lohian	SAD	1,80,344 1 Lacs+	4,93,323 4 Lacs+	37%

Candidates with highest expense on media

Sno	Name	District	Constituency	Party	Expense incurred through media	Total expense declared	% of total expense declared
1	HARI SINGH ZIRA	Ferozepur	Zira	SAD	5,19,602 5 Lacs+	6,65,358 6 Lacs+	78%
2	PARAMJIT SINGH SANDHU	Ferozepur	Guru Har Sahai	SAD	4,49,756 4 Lacs+	7,04,082 7 Lacs+	64%
3	SUKHPAL SINGH	Ferozepur	Ferozepur	BJP	4,40,592 4 Lacs+	5,61,437 5 Lacs+	78%
4	MAHESHINDER SINGH	Muktsar	Lambi	INC	4,39,829 4 Lacs+	6,06,604 6 Lacs+	73%
5	MASTER MOHAN LAL	Gurdaspur	Pathankot	BJP	3,72,812 3 Lacs+	7,67,897 7 Lacs+	49%
6	DINESH SINGH	Gurdaspur	Sujanpur	BJP	3,54,993 3 Lacs+	7,01,443 7 Lacs+	51%
7	GURMEET SINGH	Ferozepur	Guru Har Sahai	INC	3,53,495 3 Lacs+	8,61,593 8 Lacs+	41%
8	SANGAT SINGH GILZIAN	Hoshiarpur	Tanda	IND	3,51,450 3 Lacs+	6,71,289 6 Lacs+	52%
9	Manjinder Singh Kang	Amritsar	Beas	SAD	3,35,587 3 Lacs+	5,00,682 5 Lacs+	67%
10	CH. JAGJIT SINGH	Jullundur	Kartarpur	INC	2,99,600 2 Lacs+	7,70,570 7 Lacs+	39%

Candidates with highest expense on Vehicles used

S No	Name	District	Constituency	Party	Expense incurred on Vehicles used	Total expense declared	% of total expense declared
1	<u>DES RAJ DHUGGA</u>	Hoshiarpur	Garhdiwala	SAD	** 23,57,901 23 Lacs+	5,88,254 5 Lacs+	401%
2	<u>DHARAMBIR AGANIHOTRI SHERON</u>	Tarn Taran	Naushahra Panwan	INC	4,72,565 4 Lacs+	6,90,555 6 Lacs+	68%
3	<u>KEWAL SINGH</u>	Moga	Dharamkot	INC	3,88,550 3 Lacs+	5,94,105 5 Lacs+	65%
4	<u>SURJEET SINGH RAKHRA</u>	Patiala	Samana	SAD	3,32,000 3 Lacs+	8,10,412 8 Lacs+	41%
5	<u>GOBIND SINGH</u>	Sangrur	Sherpur	SAD	3,17,752 3 Lacs+	3,86,695 3 Lacs+	82%
6	<u>RAJNISH KUMAR</u>	Hoshiarpur	Mukerian	INC	3,15,940 3 Lacs+	7,63,449 7 Lacs+	41%
7	<u>SURINDER KAUR BALIAN</u>	Barnala	Bhadaur	INC	3,15,248 3 Lacs+	7,36,946 7 Lacs+	43%
8	<u>FATEH JANG SINGH BAJWA</u>	Gurdaspur	Srihargobind pur	INC	2,99,059 2 Lacs+	5,84,234 5 Lacs+	51%
9	<u>AJAIB SINGH MUKHMAILPU RA</u>	Patiala	Ghanaur	SAD	2,77,307 2 Lacs+	4,74,487 4 Lacs+	58%
10	<u>BRAHM MAHINDRA</u>	Patiala	Samana	INC	2,62,150 2 Lacs+	5,14,390 5 Lacs+	51%

**** The figure is as per the abstract of the candidate available on the CEO's Website**

Candidates with highest expense on campaign material

S No	Name	District	Constituency	Party	Expense incurred on erections of gates, arches, cutouts, banners (A)	Expense incurred on Campaign materials, like handbills, posters, video, audio cassettes, loudspeakers (B)	Expense incurred on campaign materials (sum of A+B)	Total expense declared	% of total expense declared
1	AMARJIT SINGH SAMRA	Jullundur	Nakodar	INC	2,08,235 2 Lacs+	3,42,407 3 Lacs+	5,50,642 5 Lacs+	8,55,040 8 Lacs+	64%
2	RAMESH DUTT SHARMA	Ropar	Anandpur Sahib - Ropar	INC	0	5,13,500 5 Lacs+	5,13,500 5 Lacs+	8,03,636 8 Lacs+	64%
3	SATWINDE R KAUR	Fatehgarh Sahib	Amloh	SAD	15,000 15 Thou+	3,80,381 3 Lacs+	3,95,381 3 Lacs+	5,63,404 5 Lacs+	70%
4	MANTAR SINGH	Faridkot	Kot Kapura	SAD	1,29,887 1 Lacs+	2,56,462 2 Lacs+	3,86,349 3 Lacs+	6,08,208 6 Lacs+	64%
5	RAGHBIR SINGH	Kapurthala	Kapurthala	SAD	0	3,77,866 3 Lacs+	3,77,866 3 Lacs+	5,98,935 5 Lacs+	63%
6	JANMEJA SINGH	Ferozepur	Ferozepur Cantonment	SAD	40,280 40 Thou+	3,35,919 3 Lacs+	3,76,199 3 Lacs+	6,88,891 6 Lacs+	55%
7	TIKSHAN SUD	Hoshiarpur	Hoshiarpur	BJP	27,150 27 Thou+	3,47,831 3 Lacs+	3,74,981 3 Lacs+	8,04,290 8 Lacs+	47%
8	SATWANT KAUR	Ropar	Chamkaur Sahib	SAD	0	3,62,884 3 Lacs+	3,62,884 3 Lacs+	5,85,628 5 Lacs+	62%
9	BISHAMBER DASS	Gurdaspur	Narot Mehra	BJP	83,256 83 Thou+	2,77,982 2 Lacs+	3,61,238 3 Lacs+	7,08,573 7 Lacs+	51%
10	MANPREET SINGH BADAL	Muktsar	Giddar Baha	SAD	0	3,57,289 3 Lacs+	3,57,289 3 Lacs+	5,84,754 5 Lacs+	61%

Contacts: Dr. Jagdeep Chhokar, Founder Member Association for Democratic Reforms 099996-20944 jchhokar@gmail.com	Contacts: Jaskirat Singh, Coordinator, Punjab Election Watch. +91-9316084953 pew@myneta.info
---	--

DISCLAIMER

All information about MLAs and candidates in this report has been taken from the expense details filed by them and as uploaded in the state CEO (<http://ceopunjab.nic.in/>) website. While all effort has been made to ensure that the information is in keeping with what is mentioned in the abstract statements provided, in case of discrepancy between information in this report and that given in the abstracts, the information reported in the abstracts filed by them should be treated as correct. Association for Democratic Reforms, Punjab Election Watch and their volunteers are not responsible or liable for any damage arising directly or indirectly from the publication of this report.